ACTUAL PERFORMANCE FOR OUTPUT/EFFICIENCY MEASURES
582 - Commission on Environmental Quality
Fiscal Year 2016
4/15/2016

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2016	2016	2016	Percent of	
Type/Strategy/Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range

Output Measures

1-1-1 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING

1 # POINT SOURCE AIR ASSESSMENTS

 Quarter 1
 1,967.00
 784.00
 784.00
 39.86 % *
 393.40 - 590.10

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of point-source air quality assessments exceeds the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects the number of point source emissions inventories that were both quality assured and loaded into the State of Texas Air Reporting System database. Performance is above expected levels in order to meet the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) reporting deadline of December 31, 2015. Regulated entities are required to submit their point source emissions inventories by March 31, 2016. Due to the cyclical nature of point source emissions inventories, the majority of the work is performed during the first, third and fourth quarters of the fiscal year.

Quarter 2 1,967.00 38.00 822.00 41.79 % * 885.15 - 1,081.85

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of point source quality assessments is below target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure counts the number of point source emissions inventories that were both quality assured and loaded into the State of Texas Air Reporting System database. Performance was low because the majority of point source air quality assessments had been performed during the previous three quarters to meet the United States Environmental Protection Agency reporting deadline of December 31, 2015. The quarterly variance is typical. We expect to meet or exceed the target by the end of the fiscal year.

2 # AREA SOURCE AIR ASSESSMENTS

Quarter 1 2,250.00 762.00 762.00 33.87 % * 450.00 - 675.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of area-source air quality assessments exceeds the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects the number of area source assessments developed and loaded into the Texas Air Emissions Repository database. The quarterly variance is typical during a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) reporting year. Performance is above expected levels in order to meet the USEPA reporting deadline of December 31, 2015, and state implementation plan development. We expect performance will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
2 # AREA SOURCE AIR AS	SESSMENTS				
Quarter 2	2,250.00	508.00	1,270.00	56.44 % *	1,012.50 - 1,237.50

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of area source air quality assessments is above target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects the number of area source assessments developed and loaded into the Texas Air Emissions Repository database. Second quarter performance is due to the completion of a large number of assessments in support of the 2014 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) and State Implementation Plan development. The quarterly variance is typical of an NEI cycle. We expect to meet or exceed the target by the end of the fiscal year.

3 # ON-ROAD SOURCE ASSESSMENTS

Quarter 1 1,013.00 126.00 126.00 12.44 % * 202.60 - 303.90

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of on-road mobile-source air quality assessments is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects the number of on-road mobile source and transportation related scenarios evaluated by the Air Quality Division. The number of assessments is low because resources were concentrated on developing future assessments to occur later in the year. We expect performance will meet the target by fiscal year-end. We expect performance will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

Quarter 2 1,013.00 98.00 224.00 22.11 % * 455.85 - 557.15

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of on-road mobile-source air quality assessments is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects the number of on-road mobile source and transportation related scenarios evaluated by the Air Quality Division. The number of assessments is low because resources were concentrated on developing future assessments to occur later in the year. We expect to meet or exceed the target by the end of the fiscal year.

6 TONS NOX REDUCED

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2017	2016	2016	D 4.5	
Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Massures					
Output Measures					
6 TONS NOX REDUCED					
Quarter 1	7,445.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 % *	1,489.00 - 2,233.

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the tons of nitrogen oxides (NOx) reduced through the Texas Emissions Reduction Plan (TERP) is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure represents the amount of NOx projected to be reduced by the grant projects funded through the TERP Diesel Emissions Reduction Incentive (DERI) Program. No DERI grant contracts were executed this quarter. A new grant application period was opened November 3, 2015, with an application deadline of February 2, 2016. The grant selections are anticipated to be completed by April 2016, with contracts completed before the end of the fiscal year. Several large locomotive repower grants were carried forward from the FY 2015 grant application period and are in the process of being executed. The projected tons of NOx reduced from the locomotive projects will be included in the next quarterly report, while the NOx reductions from the projects awarded under the latest grant application period will be included in the fourth quarter report. We expect performance will meet or be above the target by fiscal year-end.

Quarter 2 7,445.00 1,025.00 1,025.00 13.77 % * 3,350.25 - 4,094.75

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the tons of nitrogen oxides (NOx) reduced through the Texas Emissions Reduction Plan (TERP) is below target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure represents the amount of NOx projected to be reduced by the grant projects funded through the TERP Diesel Emissions Reduction Incentive (DERI) Program. Performance is below the target because only one grant contract was executed this quarter (a locomotive project that was applied for in FY 2015, but funded in FY 2016). A new grant application period for FY 2016 closed February 2, 2016. It is anticipated that the grant selections will be completed by April 2016, with contracts completed before the end of the fiscal year. We expect performance will meet or exceed the target by fiscal year-end.

7 # VEHICLES REPAIRED/REPLACED-LIRAP

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2016	2016	2016	Percent of	
Type/Strategy/Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
7 # VEHICLES REPAIRED/	REPLACED-LIRAP				
Quarter 1	17,000.00	811.00	811.00	4.77 % *	3,400.00 - 5,100.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of vehicles replaced and/or repaired through LIRAP assistance is below target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure determines the number of vehicle repairs and replacements that have taken place in the five-county Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) area, nine-county Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) area, and two-county Austin-Round Rock (ARR) area. During the first quarter, the HGB area repaired and replaced 383 vehicles; the DFW area repaired and replaced 373 vehicles; and the ARR area repaired and replaced 55 vehicles. The low number of repairs and replacements can be attributed to the program's transition between the reduced amount of funding (available from fiscal years 2012 through 2015) and the restoration of full funding and operation for the current biennium, FY 2016-2017.

Explanation of Update: Final LIRAP data

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2016	2016	2016	Percent of	
Type/Strategy/Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
7 # VEHICLES REPAIRED/	REPLACED-LIRAP				
Quarter 2	17,000.00	1,341.00	2,152.00	12.66 % *	7,650.00 - 9,350.0

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of vehicles replaced and/or repaired through LIRAP assistance is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure determines the number of vehicle repairs and replacements that have taken place in the five-county Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) area, nine-county Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) area, and two-county Austin-Round Rock (ARR) area. During this reporting period, the HGB area repaired and replaced a total of 632 vehicles; the DFW area repaired and replaced a total of 629 vehicles; and the ARR area repaired and replaced a total of 80 vehicles. The low number of repairs and replacements reported can be attributed to the program's transition between the reduced amount of funding available in FY 2012-2015 and the restoration of full funding and operation for FY 2016-2017. We do not expect to meet the performance measure target by the end of the fiscal year.

Explanation of Update: Final LIRAP data

1-1-2 WATER ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING

1 # SURFACE WATER ASSESSMENTS

Ouarter 1 56.00 1.00 1.00 1.79 * 11.20 - 16.80

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of surface water assessments is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure quantifies the surface water assessment activities of the agency. A variety of activities are reflected by this measure. Assessment of water quality is essential to the identification of impaired water bodies, development of water quality standards, development of effluent standards for discharges, and development of watershed restoration and implementation strategies. Many water quality assessment activities are scheduled for completion later in the fiscal year after they have been planned and coordinated and/or field sampling has been completed. We anticipate performance will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 # SURFACE WATER ASSE	SSMENTS				
Quarter 2	56.00	3.00	4.00	7.14 % *	25.20 - 30.80

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of surface water assessments is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure quantifies the surface water assessment activities of the agency. A variety of activities are reflected by this measure. Assessment of water quality is essential to the identification of impaired water bodies, development of water quality standards, development of effluent standards for discharges, and development of watershed restoration and implementation strategies. Many water quality assessment activities are scheduled for completion later in the fiscal year after they have been planned and coordinated and/or field sampling has been completed. WQP anticipates annual performance will meet the target.

2 # GROUNDWATER ASSESSMENTS

Quarter 1 54.00 9.00 9.00 16.67 % * 10.80 - 16.20

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of groundwater assessments is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure counts the number of assessment activities completed which evaluate environmental or programmatic data related to groundwater quality or quantity. This level of performance is the norm for the first quarter. Most assessments are long term projects and are either regional studies requiring four months or longer of preparation, or ongoing tasks where data or the number of coordination activities are compiled at the end of the year. We expect most of the assessments will be completed in the fourth quarter. We anticipate performance will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

Quarter 2 54.00 12.00 21.00 38.89 % * 24.30 - 29.70

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of groundwater assessments is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure counts the number of assessment activities completed which evaluate environmental or programmatic data related to groundwater quality or quantity. This level of performance is the norm for the second quarter. Most assessments are long term projects and are either regional studies requiring four months or longer of preparation, or ongoing tasks where data or the number of coordination activities are compiled at the end of the year. WAD expects to complete most of the assessments in the fourth quarter and meet the target by the end of the fiscal year.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

87.75 - 107.25

Efficiency/Output Measures with Cover Page and Update Explanation

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

195.00

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
3 # DAM SAFETY ASSESSME	ENTS				
Quarter 1	800.00	164.00	164.00	20.50 %	160.00 - 240.0
Quarter 2	800.00	279.00	443.00	55.38 % *	360.00 - 440.0
Explanation of Varian reflects the total number	nce: Performance for the number	or of dam safety assessments is mpleted during the reporting p	above the target for the period. This quarter, the	e second quarter of FY 2016. This me e Dam Safety program received an inc	easure
Explanation of Varian reflects the total number	nce: Performance for the number of dam safety assessments contency Action Plans (EAPs) from the DPLANNING	or of dam safety assessments is mpleted during the reporting p	above the target for the period. This quarter, the	e second quarter of FY 2016. This me e Dam Safety program received an inc	easure

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of active municipal solid waste (MSW) landfill capacity assessments is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure quantifies the number of MSW Annual Reports for active landfills that are reviewed by staff. Performance is below the target as staff focused on pending Annual Reports and data entry of reports received. In subsequent quarters, we anticipate completing the reviews of reports received to date as well as reports received during the remainder of the year. Performance is expected to exceed the target at the end of the fiscal year.

87.00

44.00

44.62 % *

1-2-1 AIR QUALITY PERMITTING

1 # AIR PERMITS REVIEWED

Quarter 2

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Γ ype / <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 # AIR PERMITS REVIEW	WED				
Quarter 1	9,500.00	2,560.00	2,560.00	26.95 %	1,900.00 - 2,850.0
Quarter 2	agement has updated division proced	2,128.00	4,688.00	49.35 %	4,275.00 - 5,225.0
2 # FEDERAL AIR PERMI Quarter 1	ITS REVIEWED 800.00	232.00	232.00	29.00 %	160.00 - 240.0
Quarter 2	800.00	237.00	469.00	58.63 % *	360.00 - 440.0

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of federal air quality operating permits exceeds the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure quantifies the permitting workload of Air Permits Division staff assigned to review federal operating permit applications. Second quarter performance is due to permitting staff spending a higher percentage of work time on smaller and less resource intensive projects such as general operating permits. Performance for this measure will be above the target by fiscal year-end.

1-2-2 WATER RESOURCE PERMITTING

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2016	2016	2016	Percent of	
Type/Strategy/Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
1 # WATER QUAL APPS I	REVIEWED				
Quarter 1	10,645.00	2,875.00	2,875.00	27.01 %	2,129.00 - 3,193.50
Explanation of U	pdate: Four permits were withdrawn				
Quarter 2	10,645.00	2,790.00	5,665.00	53.22 %	4,790.25 - 5,854.75

Explanation of Update: Previously, the Applications Acknowledged Report included several general permit categories that are not reported in this measure. In addition, two individual permits were remanded, the City of Hughes Springs and their public domestic wastewater permit, withdrawn March 23, 2016, and MHC TTC Inc. private domestic wastewater permit, withdrawn February 18, 2016.

3 # CAFO AUTHORIZATIONS REVIEWED

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
3 # CAFO AUTHORIZATIO	ONS REVIEWED				
Quarter 1	50.00	17.00	17.00	34.00 % *	10.00 - 15.00
agency workload v		norizations. Performance dur	ing the first quarter is ab	rter of FY 2016. This measure report ove target due to more CAFO applications.	
Quarter 2	50.00	12.00	29.00	58.00 % *	22.50 - 27.50

<u>Explanation of Variance</u>: Performance for the number of CAFO authorizations is above the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure reflects agency workload with regard to processing CAFO permit authorizations. Performance is attributed to more authorizations being processed during the reporting period. Year-end performance is anticipated to meet the target.

1-2-3 WASTE MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING

2 # NONHAZARDOUS WASTE APPS

Quarter 1 275.00 38.00 38.00 13.82 % * 55.00 - 82.50

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of nonhazardous waste permit applications reviewed is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. The measure represents the number of municipal solid waste permit, registration, and notification applications reviewed by staff. These applications reflect requests for authorization made by the regulated community in response to changing business needs, which can include, but is not limited to, opening a new facility, expanding facilities, changing operating hours, or changing the waste acceptance rate. The number of applications submitted by the regulated community can fluctuate year to year.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

90.00 - 110.00

Efficiency/Output Measures with Cover Page and Update Explanation

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

200.00

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
2 # NONHAZARDOUS WAS	TE APPS				
	277.00				
Quarter 2 Explanation of Varian	275.00 ance: Performance for the number	42.00 er of non-hazardous waste peri	80.00 mit applications reviewe	29.09 % * ed is below the target for the second qu	
Explanation of Variable FY 2016. This meass applications reflect to, opening a new fathe regulated comm	ance: Performance for the number ure represents the number of municequests for authorization made by cility, expanding facilities, chang	er of non-hazardous waste pernicipal solid waste permit, registy the regulated community in ring operating hours, or changing	mit applications reviews stration, and notification response to changing burng the waste acceptance		e t limited mitted by
Explanation of Vari- FY 2016. This meas applications reflect to, opening a new fa the regulated comm	ance: Performance for the number ure represents the number of mun requests for authorization made by cility, expanding facilities, changunity can fluctuate year to year. P will not meet the target.	er of non-hazardous waste pernicipal solid waste permit, registy the regulated community in ring operating hours, or changing	mit applications reviews stration, and notification response to changing burng the waste acceptance	ed is below the target for the second quapplications reviewed by staff. These siness needs, which include, but is not rate. The number of applications sub-	uarter of e t limited mitted by

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of hazardous waste permit applications reviewed is above the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure represents the number of hazardous waste permit, registration, and notification applications reviewed by staff. These applications reflect requests for authorization made by the regulated community in response to changing business needs, which can include, but is not limited to, opening a new facility, expanding facilities, changing operating hours, or changing the waste acceptance rate. The number of applications submitted by the regulated community can fluctuate year to year. Year-to-date performance is attributed to an increase in the number of applications received. Based on current data, annual performance will exceed the target for the fiscal year.

112.00

55.00

56.00 % *

1-2-4 OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING

2 # EXAMS PROCESSED

Quarter 2

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
2 # EXAMS PROCESSED					
Quarter 1	10,200.00	2,699.00	2,699.00	26.46 %	2,040.00 - 3,060.00
Quarter 2	10,200.00	2,785.00	5,484.00	53.76 %	4,590.00 - 5,610.00
2-1-1 SAFE DRINKING WAT 1 # WATER SYSTEMS ME					
Quarter 1	6,630.00	6,547.00	6,547.00	98.75 %	6,298.50 - 6,961.50
Quarter 2	6,630.00	6,572.00	6,572.00	99.13 %	6,298.50 - 6,961.50
2 # DRINKING WATER SA	AMPLES				
Quarter 1	49,338.00	13,343.00	13,343.00	27.04 %	9,867.60 - 14,801.40
Quarter 2	49,338.00	12,123.00	25,466.00	51.62 %	22,202.10 - 27,135.90

3-1-1 FIELD INSPECTIONS & COMPLAINTS

1 # AIR SITES INSPECTED/INVESTIGATED

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
	gev				Turget runge
Output Measures					
1 # AIR SITES INSPECTE	D/INVESTIGATED				
Quarter 1	11,177.00	2,198.00	2,198.00	19.67 % *	2,235.40 - 3,353.10
This measure rep environment. Pe	resents investigations conducted to	assure compliance with rules,	regulations, and statutes	v the target for the first quarter of FY designed to protect human health and programs) and new hire training. Th	d the
Quarter 2	11,177.00	3,082.00	5,280.00	47.24 %	5,029.65 - 6,147.35
2 # WATER RTS INSPECT	ΓED/INVESTIGATED				
Quarter 1	38,600.00	7,679.00	7,679.00	19.89 % *	7,720.00 - 11,580.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of inspections and investigations of water rights sites is below the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure reports the number of inspections and investigations completed at regulated water rights sites. The Watermaster program is slightly below first quarter expectations primarily because of the time necessary to complete investigations in the newly established Brazos program. We anticipate meeting the target for the fiscal year.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Toward	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Toward Borner
Typer <u>Strategy</u> we astre	Target	Actual	110	Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
2 # WATER RTS INSPECT	ED/INVESTIGATED				
Quarter 2	38,600.00	7,144.00	14,823.00	38.40 % *	17,370.00 - 21,230.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of inspections and investigations of water rights sites is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure reports the number of inspections and investigations completed at regulated water rights sites. There are four established watermaster programs in Texas. The Watermaster program is below expectations primarily because of the time necessary to complete investigations in the newly established Brazos program. Because this program is new, there is a learning curve for program staff and customers that are new to a watermaster; and each visit is taking longer than expected. The distance between the investigation sites in the Brazos Program is greater when compared to other watermaster program sites. Further, staff turnover in the South Texas program also contributed to current performance. Due to the time required to complete investigations in the Brazos program, we do not expect to meet the target for the fiscal year.

3 # WATER SITES INSPECTED/INVES

Quarter 1	12,865.00	3,141.00	3,141.00	24.42 %	2,573.00 - 3,859.50
Ouarter 2	12,865.00	4,640.00	7,781.00	60.48 % *	5,789.25 - 7,075.75

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of inspections and investigations of water sites and facilities exceeds the target during the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure represents investigations conducted to assure compliance with rules, regulations, and statutes established to protect human health and the environment. Pursuant to HB 571 of the 82nd Legislative Session, an excess of 1,000 Aggregate Production Operation (APO) investigations were approved during this reporting period resulting in performance above the target. APO investigations will continue to be conducted through the remainder of the fiscal year. Year-end performance is expected to exceed the annual target.

3-1-2 ENFORCEMENT & COMPLIANCE SUPPORT

1 # ENVIRO LABS ACCREDITED

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures	ITED				
1 # ENVIRO LABS ACCRED	285.00	279.00	279.00	97.89 %	270.75 - 299.25
Quarter 2	285.00	278.00	278.00	97.54 %	270.75 - 299.25
2 # ASSISTS BY SBAP Quarter 1	66,000.00	17,928.00	17,928.00	27.16 %	13,200.00 - 19,800.00
Quarter 2	66,000.00	9,193.00	27,121.00	41.09 % *	29,700.00 - 36,300.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of small businesses and local governments assisted is below expectations for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure provides an indication of the number of notifications provided to the state's small businesses and local governments to keep them informed of regulatory changes that might affect them. Performance during this reporting period is due to outreach projects targeting a very specific and relatively small group of entities in the petroleum storage tank program. However, these entities are due for investigation in FY 2017. We expect to meet or exceed the target by the end of the fiscal year.

3-1-3 POLLUTION PREVENTION RECYCLING

1 # POLLUTION PREVENTION WORKSHOPS

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

e/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
tput Measures					
1 # POLLUTION PREVEN	TION WORKSHOPS				
Quarter 1	125.00	48.00	48.00	38.40 % *	25.00 - 37.5
program participa pollution prevent	tion is above expectations for the first	st quarter of FY 2016. This me on to Texas businesses and org	easure is an indication of ganizations. The first qu	vention/waste minimization and volum of outreach and information disseminuarter results are historically higher ex- consistent with expectations.	ation of

Explanation of Variance: Performance of the number of presentations, booths, and workshops conducted on pollution prevention/waste minimization and voluntary program participation is above expectations for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure is an indication of outreach and information dissemination of pollution prevention and voluntary program information to Texas businesses and organizations. Year-to-date results are higher than normal due to an increase in outreach to public water systems compared to this period in previous years. We expect to meet the target by the end of the fiscal year.

4-1-1 STORAGE TANK ADMIN & CLEANUP

3 # PST CLEANUPS COMPLETED

Quarter 1 200.00 103.00 103.00 51.50 % * 40.00 - 60.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of petroleum storage tank cleanups completed exceeds the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This performance measure reflects program efforts to cleanup leaking petroleum storage tank sites. Most cleanups are finalized after responsible parties complete all field work and formally request closure review. Performance is above the target because more requests for closure reviews were received than expected.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
3 # PST CLEANUPS COMPLE	TED				
Quarter 2	200.00	82.00	185.00	92.50 % *	90.00 - 110.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of petroleum storage tank cleanups completed exceeds the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This performance measure reflects program efforts to cleanup leaking petroleum storage tank sites. Most cleanups are finalized after responsible parties complete all field work and formally request closure review. Performance is above the target because more requests for closure review were received than expected. We expect performance will exceed the target for the fiscal year.

4-1-2 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS CLEANUP

3 # VOLUNTARY CLEANUPS

Quarter 1 61.00 27.00 27.00 44.26 % * 12.20 - 18.30

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of voluntary and brownfield cleanups completed exceeds the target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This performance measure indicates the number of sites that have completed necessary response actions to either remove or control contamination levels at voluntary cleanup and brownfield sites. Performance is above the target due to the applicants' timely submission of technical documents that met closure requirements.

Quarter 2 61.00 19.00 46.00 75.41 % * 27.45 - 33.55

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of voluntary and brownfield cleanups completed exceeds the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This performance measure indicates the number of sites that have completed necessary response actions to either remove or control contamination levels at voluntary cleanup and brownfield sites. Performance is above the target due to applicants' timely submittal of technical documents that met closure requirements. Performance is expected to exceed the target for the fiscal year.

4 # SUPERFUND EVALS/CLEANUPS UNDERWAY

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

/ <u>Strategy</u> /Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
put Measures					
4 # SUPERFUND EVALS/CI	EANUPS UNDERWAY				
Quarter 1	42.00	43.00	43.00	102.38 %	39.90 - 44.10
Quarter 2	42.00	43.00	43.00	102.38 %	39.90 - 44.10
5 # SUPERFUND REMEDIA	L ACTION COMP.				
Quarter 1	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 % *	0.60 - 0.9
measure reflects the		fund sites that no longer pose a	an unacceptable risk to	ne target for the first quarter of FY 2010 human health or the environment due n reporting quarter.	
Quarter 2	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 % *	1.35 - 1.6

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the number of superfund remedial actions completed is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. No remedial actions were completed during this reporting period. This measure reflects the number of state and federal Superfund sites that no longer pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment due to completed remedial actions. Completed Superfund remedial actions are not uniformly distributed over each reporting quarter. There is uncertainty regarding whether three remedial actions will be completed by the end of the fiscal year.

7 # DRY CLEANER SITE CLEANUPS

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Output Measures					
7 # DRY CLEANER SITE CL	EANUPS				
Quarter 1	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 % *	0.40 - 0.60
	of FY 2016. This measure reflect t uniformly distributed over each t		o known eligible DCRF	sites contaminated by dry cleaner sol	vents.
			o known eligible DCRF	sites contaminated by dry cleaner sol 50.00 %	vents. 0.90 - 1.10
Site cleanups are no	t uniformly distributed over each i	reporter period.			
Site cleanups are no	t uniformly distributed over each i	reporter period.			
Site cleanups are no Quarter 2	t uniformly distributed over each to the control of	reporter period.			

Explanation of Update: Final LIRAP data

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

Type/Strategy/Measure	2016 Target	2016 Actual	2016 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures 3 AVG COST/ LIRAP RETROFIT					
Quarter 2	525.00	558.00	552.00	105.14 % *	498.75 - 551.25

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the average cost of LIRAP vehicle emissions repairs/retrofits is slightly above the target for the second quarter to FY 2016. Lower performance is desired and favorable. This measure shows the average dollar amount reimbursed to recognized emissions repair facilities for eligible vehicle emissions repairs/retrofits in the five-county Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) area, nine-county Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) area, and two-county Austin-Round Rock (ARR) area. The higher average cost of LIRAP vehicle emissions repairs/retrofits reported during this reporting period can be attributed to a lower ratio of repairs/retrofits to vehicle replacements due to increased availability of funding for vehicle replacements in FY 2016-2017. We expect performance to meet the target by the end of the fiscal year.

Explanation of Update: Final LIRAP data

4 AVG COST/TON NOX REDUCED

Quarter 1 7,500.00 0.00 0.00 % * 7,125.00 - 7,875.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the average cost per ton of nitrogen oxides (NOx) reduced through the Texas Emissions Reduction Plan is below target for the first quarter of FY 2016. This measure reports the cost per ton of NOx estimated to be reduced over the life of the projects funded by the Diesel Emissions Reduction Incentive (DERI) Program. Performance is below target because no DERI grant contracts were executed this quarter. A new grant application period was opened November 3, 2015, with an application deadline of February 2, 2016. The grant selections are anticipated to be completed by April 2016, with contracts completed before the end of the fiscal year. Several large locomotive repower grants were carried forward from the FY 2015 grant application period and are in the process of being executed. The cost per ton of NOx reduced from the locomotive projects will be included in the next quarterly report, while the cost per ton of NOx reduced from the projects awarded under the latest grant application period will be included in the fourth quarter report. We expect that the average cost per ton of NOx reduced will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.

84th Regular Session, Performance Reporting Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 582 Agency name: Commission on Environmental Quality

	2016	2016	2016	Percent of	
Type/Strategy/Measure	Target	Actual	YTD	Annual Target	Target Range
Efficiency Measures					
4 AVG COST/TON NOX RED	UCED				
Quarter 2	7,500.00	8,818.00	8,818.00	117.57 % *	7,125.00 - 7,875.

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the average cost per ton of nitrogen oxides (NOx) reduced through the Texas Emissions Reduction Plan exceeds the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. This measure reports the cost per ton of NOx estimated to be reduced over the life of the projects funded by the Diesel Emissions Reduction Incentive (DERI) Program. Performance is above the target because only one grant contract was executed this quarter; a locomotive project that was applied for in FY 2015, but funded in FY 2016. A new grant application period closed on February 2, 2016. The grant selections are anticipated to be completed by April 2016, with contracts completed before the end of the fiscal year. The cost per ton of NOx reduced from the projects awarded under the latest grant application period will be included in the fourth quarter report. We expect that the average cost per ton of NOx reduced will meet the target by fiscal year-end.

4-1-1 STORAGE TANK ADMIN & CLEANUP

1 AVG TIME TO AUTH CORRECTIVE ACTION

Quarter 1 60.00 21.00 21.00 35.00 % * 57.00 - 63.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the average days to authorize a state lead contractor to perform corrective action activities is below target for the first quarter of FY 2016. Lower performance is desired and favorable. This performance measure reports the average number of days for agency staff to process Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST) State Lead work order proposals. Lower performance reflects the TCEQ's efforts to ensure average review time does not exceed the target of 60 days. Performance is expected to remain below the target throughout the fiscal year.

Quarter 2 60.00 28.00 24.00 40.00 % * 57.00 - 63.00

Explanation of Variance: Performance for the average days to authorize a state lead contractor to perform corrective action activities is below the target for the second quarter of FY 2016. Lower performance is desired and favorable. This performance measure reports the average number of days for agency staff to process Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST) State Lead work order proposals. Lower performance reflects the TCEQ's efforts to ensure average review time does not exceed the target of 60 days. We expect performance will meet the target or be lower than the target at fiscal year-end.

^{*} Varies by 5% or more from target.